

Revisiting Wireless Networking in the Cognitive Radios Era

Victor Bahl Microsoft Research



Examples: TCP, CSMA/CA, Autorate, AD,



Definitions

Cognitive Radio

a radio that is aware of and can sense its environment, learn from its environment, and adjust its operation according to some objective function

Cognitive Network

a network that has a cognitive process that perceives current network conditions, and then plans, decides, and acts on those conditions. The network can learn from these adaptations and uses them to make future decisions, all while taking into account end-to-end goals.



Cognitive Wireless Networking Research Program

Wireless Meshes, Ubiquitous Personal Networks, Wireless Office, Wireless LANs, Vehicular networks



Enabling Technologies: SDR, Frequency agile radios, multi-radio systems.....



Scenario Driven Approach

Enable new scenarios
 Ubiquitous Personal Networks (UPN)

Revisit existing scenariosMesh Networking

. . .



Ubiquitous Personal Network

Description

- Your own personal private network anytime, everywhere.
- No infrastructure required
 - ...but interacts seamlessly with available network(s)
- Provides free high-bandwidth connectivity to devices & people
 ...a natural for location-aware mobile social networking



Mesh Networking



Wireless mesh networks have the potential to bridge the Broadband divide

Research

Why Meshes? Worldwide Internet Penetration < 20%

Worldwide, Internet and broadband use are concentrated in Asia-Pacific, Europe, and North America





Broadband penetration is the prime lever of Internet activity growth



Source: Pyramid Research, April 2006. Internet use may include access via devices other than PCs.

Viability Studies

- 5-10% subscription rate needed for suburban topologies with current wireless ranges (> 100 m)
- Once a mesh forms, it is usually well-connected
 - i.e. number of outliers are few (most nodes have > 2 neighbors)
- Need to investigate other joining models
- Business model considerations are important



Range is key for good mesh connectivity

Research

But...

5 GHz:

- Bandwidth is good,
- Published 802.11a ranges (Yellow circles) decent
- Measured range (red circle) poor
- Range is not sufficient to bootstrap mesh until installed % is quite high

Research

The Bloody Range Problem

Directional antennas? Placement issues Zoning laws Truck roll Insurance cost Maintenance





What about lower frequencies?....



Propagation at 2600 MHz: 10 Sites Seattle Eastside: Bellevue and Sammamish



Map courtesy Chris Knudsen, Vulcan Capital

Propagation at 700 MHz: 3 Sites Seattle Eastside: Bellevue and Sammamish



Map courtesy Chris Knudsen, Vulcan Capital

600-700 MHz:

- Much better range: about 7 times further than 5 GHz at equal power settings
- Three 2 MHz channels can bootstrap a neighbourhood with ~3-5 Mbps

ALC: PL

Dual Freq. Network

• As more clients come online, links form in high-frequency range and more of the mesh is connected with highbandwidth

Sprectrum Aware Networking Is it all being used?

750 MHz



[30 MHz – 30 GHz]

5.2% in use!

470 MHz



Frequency



Source: Shared Spectrum Company

Research

Can we buy more?

Yes (On-going 2008 spectrum auction) But:

- Should the govt. sell a "national resource"?
 - Success stories: GSM, CDMA, 3G, WiMax(?)...
 - Cons: Underutilization e.g. 15% utilization in TV Bands
- Should it be unlicensed (but regulated)?
 - Success stories: WiFi, Bluetooth, Zigbee, ...
 - Cons: Overcrowding: "junk bands"

Classic debate "Properties versus Commons"

Research

The Broadband Debate

...two sides to the coin



Proponents

- Local and state government should provide Wi-Fi access free everywhere (Significant macroeconomics benefit)
- Propel the nation to the forefront of the broadband era
 - Lower cost, faster deployment (specially in rural areas)
 - Stimulate competition by raising service standards

Detractors

- Unfair to ask private sector to compete with local government who have tax dollars
- Not a utility, highly competitive enterprise
- Continuously changing due to innovation



Can we improve utilization?

Options:

- Sub-lease the spectrum
- Opportunistically use the spectrum



System Architecture

Regulatory bodies

FCC, Ofcom

Primary user

 Owns the spectrum (through a long-term lease)

Secondary user

 Need limited, opportunistic, access to spectrum

Spectrum brokers

Aggregates unused spectrum and makes it available to secondary users





HotMobile 2006

Time Bounded Spectrum Leases

Good Idea?

- Generate revenue for spectrum owners
- Allow end users to communicate legally
- Future-Proof: Allow innovations in communication technologies
 - Adapts to RF hardware capabilities and standards



Implications

Properties:

High utilization

 Under-utilized spectrum in licensed bands must be efficiently used by Secondaries

Flexible usage

 No restriction on what PHY/MAC techniques Secondaries should use

Fair usage

 Primaries should be confident that Scondaries do not overstay their privileges

Challenges:

- Real-time spectrum allocations and revocation
 - DSCP: DHCP-equivalent protocol for spectrum

Efficient algorithms for spectrum utilization

- Need to mitigate spectrum fragmentation
- In-band enforcement of secondary spectrum use
 - Hardware-based implementation for FCC certification

Spectrum Allocation

Microsoft^{*}

What are the optimization objectives?

for spectrum owner (airline pricing model) for lease owner (choosing the best price-performance point)

How to avoid fragmentation?

- Extend memory and disk fragmentation mitigation algorithms
- Differential pricing Penalize requests that fragment more



Monitoring & Enforcement



Opportunistic Use

- Dynamically identify currently unused portions of the spectrum
- Configure radio to operate in free spectrum band
 - Take smart (cognitive?) decisions how to share the spectrum



Spectrum Management DySpan 2007 Opportunity for Clean-Slate Design



Hardware



Primary Components

- Wideband spectrum scanner
- Tunable UHF half-duplex transceiver
- Network processor

Research

Wideband Spectrum Scanner

- Discover vacant TV channels in 512-698 MHz
- Scan Frame Bandwidth: 8 MHz
- Scan Frame FFT size: 2048 MHz
- Minimum DTV pilot tone sensitivity: -114dBm<< -85dBm</p>





DTV NTS Performance: TV Signal Detection



UHF Translator

Uses 2.4 GHz 802.11g for primary signal generation

- Shapes OFDM signal to fit in 6 MHz TV Band
- 100 mw of Tx power with 30 dB TPC



Network Processor

Integrates scanner with UHF translator

- Determines white spaces by processing scanner output
- Sets appropriate parameters at the UHF translator
- Processes samples from scanner
 - Applies 2048 FFT on scanner samples
 - Matches feature templates for digital TV, analog NTSC signals, etc.
- Controls parameters of the UHF translator
 - Channel frequency, Tx power

Note: When not scanning, receive on the 900 ISM band. Tunable transceiver for 400—928MHz



Prototype





Research



Microsoft's White Space device

Microsoft - Phillips Field Study Summary

Test

•

53 mi

~1,000 field measurements of 4 TV channels at numerous locations in NY &CA Tests sites inside of, and up to 10 miles outside, TV channel contours. Include high-rise apts., private residences, offices, churches, motels.

Connecticut

Result TV signals > -114 dBfth detected with 100% accuracy.

Yonkérs

Site 19

Bottom Line -114 dBm threshold protects viewable TV signals

New Jersey

Broadcasters" assertion that over-the-air sensing is not technically feasible and does not provide them with adequate protection is wrong.

age NASA 2007 TerraMetrics

2007 Europa Technologies nage © 2007 New York GIS Resease

Networking Challenges The KNOWS Project

How should nodes connect?

Need analysis tools to reason about throughput & overall spectrum utilization Which spectrum-band should two cognitive radios use for transmission?
1. Channel-width...?
2. Frequency...?
3. Duration...?

S. Duration...:

What protocol should we use for efficiently allocating Time-spectrum blocks in the space?



MobiHoc 2007



The KNOWS Stack

DySpan 2007





Splitting Control and Data

Broadband 2005



Throughput improvement more than that with data striping



Resource Allocation Matrix

Nodes record info for reserved time-spectrum blocks



The above illustrates an ideal scenario
1) Existence of Primary Users can cause fragmentation
2) In multi-hop → neighbors have different views



Resource Allocation Matrix



Nodes record info for reserved time-spectrum blocks



b-SMART

Distributed SpectruM Allocation oveR whiTe spaces

Which TSB should be reserved...?

- How long...? How wide...?
- Design Principles

 Try to assign each flow blocks of bandwidth B/N ⁴ B: Total available spectrum N: Number of disjoint flows

2. Choose optimal transmission duration ¢t

Long blocks: Higher delay Short blocks: More congestion on control channel



Example

 Number of valid reservations in NAM → estimate for N Case study: 8 backlogged single-hop flows



Does it Help? KNOWS Performance

Aggregate Throughput of Disjoint UDP flows



b-SMART in

Contiguous

Spectrum

Research

of flows

KNOWS significantly outperforms systems based on fixed allocations

Challenges

Practical Challenges:

- Heterogeneity in spectrum availability
 - Range mismatch
 - Fragmentation

Protocol must

- Allow opportunistic use
- Be eficient (distributed)
- Be self regulating (Fair)
- Be Load-aware

Modeling Challenges:

- In single/multi-channel systems,
 → graph coloring problem.
- With contiguous channels of variable channel-width, coloring is not an appropriate model!
 - → Need new models!

Theoretical Challenges:

- New problem space
- Tools...? Efficient algorithms...?

Build on years of knowledge we have acquired in wireless



Cognitive Wireless Networking Research Program

Wireless Meshes, Ubiquitous Personal Networks, Wireless Office, Wireless LANs, Vehicular networks





Varying Channel Width – A Good Idea? SIGCOMM 2008 Dynamically adjust center frequency and width. Frequency Lower widths increase range while consuming less power! 100.00 5MHz 10MHz 20MHz 40MHz Loss Rate (%) 80.00 1.92 Send 1.98 2.05 2.17 60.00 Idle 1.00 1.41 1.11 1.25 40.00 Receive 1.01 1.13 1.27 1.49 20.00 —10 MHz **Power Drain** 0.00 -20 MHz 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 -40 MHz

Attenuation

Research

Application: Load Balancing in W-LANs

Adaptively assign wider channels to APs with high load



• Significantly better throughput & fairness

Can achieve close to theoretically optimal performance

Research

Does this help?

<u>...</u> <u>ي</u>ب ••• 161 м.,-20 00 -... ÷ ** -6 ÷ 1.04 •.ev •--·2· ÷. :.. - 22 -----7] -4 -2-= -20 - --------r Ta -----42 128 167 B -2



Significant increase in overall throughput

DySpan 2007

Looking Ahead

- Re-visit high impact scenarios: Mesh networking, all-wireless office, location-awareness,..
- Develop new scenarios with Intention Aware Networking UPN, ZuneNet, Guardian Phone,...
- Push hard on the hardwaresoftware boundary and revisit important designs

Trends Impacting Design

- Processor advancement (m-core)
- Improved Connectivity Options
 - Agile Radios
 - Software Defined Radios
 - Multi-radio platforms
 - 60 GHz, multi-gigabir connectivity
- Miniaturization
- Policy Improvements
 - Allow re-use of licensed spectrum

http://research.microsoft.com/conferences/CogNetSummit/ Cognitive Wireless Networking Summit 2008 *From thought to practice*

June 5 - 6, 2008 🔅 Salish Lodge & Spa 🔹 Snoqualmie, WA

<u>Academia</u>

Microsoft*

- Suman Banerjee University of Wisconsin, Madison
- Charles Bostian Virginia Tech

Research

- Bob Brodersen University of California, Berkeley
- Joseph Evans The University of Kansas
- Andrea Goldsmith Stanford University
- Dirk Grunwald University of Colorado, Boulder
- Carl Gunter University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (UIUC)
- Dina Katabi Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)
- Nick Laneman University of Notre Dame
- Petri Mahonen University of Aachen, Germany
- Dipankar Raychaudhuri Rutgers University
- Ashu Sabharwal Rice University
- Claudio da Silva Virgina Tech
- Dan Stancil Carnegie Mellon University (CMU)
- Vahid Tarokh Harvard University
- David Tse University of California, Berkeley
- David Wetherall University of Washington/Intel Research
- Heather Zheng University of California, Santa Barbara

Industry/Government

- Milind Buddhikot Alcatel-Lucent Bell Labs
- John Chapin Vanu
- Karen Haigh BBN Technologies
- Preston Marshall DARPA
- Haiyun Tang Adaptrum

Sample Presentations

- Four Years of "Software Radio" and Applications Building Cognitive Radios
- Stealing from an ongoing flow: Protocols & Prototypes Efficient Signal Identification using the Spectral Correlation
- Adapting Channel Widths to Improve Application Performance
- Architecture and Protocol Design for Cognitive Radio Networks
- A Networked Approach to Spectrum Sensing
- Architecture for Cognitive Networks: One Or Many, Network Support for Wireless Connectivity in the TV Bands
- DoS Threats for Cognitive Wireless Networks
- ADROIT: Adaptive Dynamic Radio Open-source Intelligent Team,
- Spectrum Aware Load Balancing for WLANs,
- Breaking Spectrum Gridlock via Cognitive and Cooperative Radios,
- Greedy Asynchronous Distributed Interference Avoidance
- Model-driven Optimization of Multi-hop Wireless Networks
- Collaborative Spectrum Management for Reliability and Scalability
- Cognitive Techniques and Spectrum management
- Spectrum Enforcement in a Spectrum Sharing World

Moving Forward

Revisit & Improve Regulations Policy that stimulates innovation

Derive User & Application's Intention Observe, model, anticipate & react

Revisit Assumptions & Designs Push boundary between hardware & software

Research

Cognitive Software Vision Videos

Manufacturing Future

Healthcare Future

Thanks

http://research.microsoft.com/netres/

© 2007 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved. Microsoft, Windows, Windows Vista and other product names are or may be registered trademarks and/or trademarks in the U.S. and/or other countries. The information herein is for informational purposes only and represents the current view of Microsoft Corporation as of the date of this presentation. Because Microsoft must respond to changing market conditions, it should not be interpreted to be a commitment on the part of Microsoft, and Microsoft cannot guarantee the accuracy of any information provided after the date of this presentation. MICROSOFT MAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS, IMPLIED OR STATUTORY, AS TO THE INFORMATION IN THIS PRESENTATION.